

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action mailed September 14, 2004 and Advisory Action dated March 25, 2005 have been reviewed and carefully considered. Claims 1-7 were previously canceled. Claims 8 and 15 have been amended and claims 16-22 are added. Claims 8-22 are pending in this application, with claims 8, 15, and 16 being the only independent claims. Reconsideration of the above-identified application, as herein amended and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as anticipated by WO 00/39666 (Carlino).

Independent claim 8 is amended to clearly recite "receiving means at the content converter for receiving content for a particular mobile terminal from said at least one content server, said at least one content server being connected to the data network so that said content converter is directly accessible by said at least one content server through the data network bypassing said at least one gateway". Independent claim 15 similarly recites "wherein said content converter is separate and distinct from said content server and from said gateway such that said content converter is directly accessible by said content server through said WAN bypassing said gateway".

Carlino fails to disclose, teach or suggest that the content converter is accessible by the content server by passing the wireless gateway. Carlino discloses a method a system for converting content of electronic data for wireless services. According to Carlino, a content converter 16 is either an integral part of or connected directly to a wireless gateway 14 (see page 14, line 19 to page 5, line 10; and Fig. 1 of Carlino). The content converter 16 of Carlino is connected to the wireless gateway for converting electronic documents to a format that is usable by the first wireless device 12 (see page 14, lines 7-9; and Fig. 1, of Carlino). In each of the embodiment

disclosed by Carlino, the content converter 16 sends data to the wireless gateway 14 and receives data from the wireless gateway 14 (see Fig.1; and page 14, lines 19-23 of Carlino). Stated another way, transmissions to and from the are routed through the wireless gateway 14. Since Carlino discloses a configuration in which all access to the content converter is through the wireless gateway 14, Carlino fails to disclose, teach or suggest "said content converter is directly accessible by said content server through said WAN bypassing said gateway", as expressly recited in independent claims 8 and 15.

New independent claim 16 recites the step of "receiving, at the content converter, content for a particular mobile terminal from said at least one content server directly through the data network, bypassing the at least one gateway". Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 16 is allowable over Carlino for the same reasons as are independent claims 8 and 15.

Dependent claims 9-14 and 17-23, each being dependent on one of independent claims 8 and 16, are allowable for at least the same reasons as are independent claims 8 and 16, as well as for the additional recitations contained therein.

New dependent claim 23 further recites that the content converter is accessible through the data network as a network resource. Carlino fails to disclose this. In contrast Carlino teaches that the content converter is accessible only through the gateway. Accordingly, dependent claim 23 should be allowable for at least these additional reasons.

The application is now deemed to be in condition for allowance and notice to that effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE

By



Alfred W. Froebrich

Reg. No. 38,887

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210
New York, New York 10176
(212) 687-2770

Dated: May 16, 2005